UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT DISTRICT OF NEBRASKA

TINA L. NICHOLS, an individual,

Plaintiff,

٧.

FIRST DATA RESOURCES, LLC, a Delaware Limited Liability Corporation, and

JONES LANG LASALLE AMERICAS, INC., a Maryland Corporation

Defendants.

Case No. 8:19-cv-35

COMPLAINT

Place of Trial: Omaha, NE

JURY TRIAL DEMANDED

INTRODUCTION

1. Tina L. Nichols ("Ms. Nichols") is a 56-year-old African American woman who was placed by Jones Lang LaSalle Americas, Inc. ("JLL") at First Data Resources, LLC ("First Data") in Omaha, Nebraska.¹ Ms. Nichols worked at First Data as an Administrative Secretary. Nichols' manager assessed her performance as positive after her first six (6) months; she was told she was "an integral part of the team." Not only was Ms. Nichols told she "does a great job," her supervisor wrote in her MidYear Review that Ms. Nichols

"has quickly become a part of the fabric of the JLL/First Data family. She is personable, professional and adaptable. She been a great addition..."

2. Nonetheless, Ms. Nichols' was unexpectedly fired from her position. First Data told Ms. Nichols' JLL supervisor, Matt Covey, on May 1, 2017, that "today will be Ms.

¹ First Data contracted with JLL for facilities maintenance to provide property and administrative services. The services were located in the Corporate Solutions Business Unit of First Data.

Nichols'] last day." Covey then relayed to Ms. Nichols that "our client said today will be your last day."

- 3. Neither First Data nor JLL issued Ms. Nichols a warning. Nor did either defendant required Ms. Nichols be put on a performance improvement plan.
- 4. Ironically, First Data was served with a discrimination charge by one of its former employees, Rodney Nichols, who happens to be Ms. Nichols' husband. He filed charges in Spring 2016 but those charges were not served on First Data until after Ms. Nichols' MidYear review was issued. After Rodney filed discrimination charges against First Data, and those charges were served on it, First Data's treatment of Ms. Nichols' changed. Her Annual Review was markedly different than her MidYear review.
- 5. Ms. Nichols' termination was due solely on retaliation by First Data because her husband availed himself of the protected legal processes for safeguarding his state and federal rights to be free from discriminatory treatment. The timing demonstrates that Mr. Nichols' discrimination charge with the Omaha Human Rights and Relations was the causative event resulting in Ms. Nichols' termination.

PARTIES

- 6. Tina Nichols ("Ms. Nichols") is a 56-year-old African American woman who worked for JLL at First Data until she terminated on May 1, 2017. Ms. Nichols lives in Omaha, Nebraska.
- 7. First Data Resources, LLC ("First Data") provides technology and services solutions to merchants and financial institutions around the world. First Data is a Delaware Limited Liability Corporation doing business in Nebraska with a location at 6855 Pacific Street, Omaha, NE 68106.

8. JLL provides clients services and staffing to merchants and financial institutions, having partnered with First Data. JLL is a Maryland Corporation doing business in Nebraska at First Data's location, 6855 Pacific Street, Omaha, NE 68106.

JURISDICTION & VENUE

- 9. This matter arises under federal and state law. This Court has jurisdiction pursuant to 28 U.S.C. §1331 based on Ms. Nichols' federal claims set forth in this Complaint. This Court has supplemental jurisdiction of the Nebraska state law claims pursuant to 28 U.S.C. §1367.
- 10. Venue is proper in this District pursuant to 28 U.S.C. §1381(b)(2), because the acts complained of here were orchestrated from, planned in, and conducted in this District.
- 11. Ms. Nichols dual filed charges of discrimination against both Defendants with the Nebraska Equal Opportunity Commission ("NEOC") and the Equal Employment Opportunity Commission ("EEOC").
 - a. Her administrative charges against First Data are identified as NEOC Charge No. 2-17/28-12-49166-RD and EEOC Charge No. 32E-2018-00159.
 - b. Her charges against JLL are identified as NEOC Charge No. 2-17/28-12-49167-RD and EEOC Charge No. 32E-2018-00160.

The NEOC issued its determination on both charges as of October 19, 2018. Ms. Nichols received the NEOC's determinations on October 26, 2018. The EEOC issued its Notice of Right to Sue on both charges as of December 18, 2018. (A copy of both of the EEOC

Notices of Right to Sue are attached as Exhibits A and B and incorporated by this reference as if fully set forth).

FACTS

12. Ms. Nichols started working at First Data on March 23, 2016, when JLL placed her at that location. As noted above, her performance at First Data initially was assessed as very positive. In September 2016k, Ms. Nichols' manager described her performance as "personable, professional and adaptable," calling her a "great addition."

13. Unbeknownst to Ms. Nichols' JLL Manager, Matthew Covey, Ms. Nichols' husband, Rodney Nichols ("Rodney"), who was employed directly by First Data, filed a charge of discrimination against First Data with the Omaha Human Rights and Relations Department ("OHRRD") on June 29, 2016. That filing languished at OHRRD for months, until December 18, 2017 when Rodney retained Carlson & Burnett LLP to represent him and the law firm provided OHRRD with its Notice of Representation. (Exhibit C, a true and correct copy of C&B's 12/19/2018 letter of representation is attached as Exhibit C and incorporated here.)

14. Rodney's work at First Data put him in close proximity to First Data's Director of Operations, Mark Jellsey. They could hear one another's telephone conversations from their respective work spaces. Jellsey knew when Rodney's discrimination charge was received by First Data.

- 15. Jellsey informed First Data's Director of Property, Scott Altic, of Rodney's charge because Ms. Nichols worked with Altic in the same office location. Altic informed JLL, including Ms. Nichols' supervisor Covey, of Rodney's charge filed against First Data.
- 16. Ms. Nichols' annual performance review, which was performed after First Data received Rodney's charge was dramatically different than her MidYear Review. The only change that took place during the interim period was that Rodney's charge of discrimination against First Data was served.
- 17. Ms. Nichols seeks judgment against First Data and JLL for their retaliation against her based on the fact that her husband filed discrimination charges against First Data.

CLAIM I

RETALIATION

(42 U.S.C. § 2000e3-a; Neb. Rev. Stat. § 1114)

- 18. Ms. Nichols incorporates by reference paragraphs 1-17, inclusive, as if fully set forth.
 - 19. Both Nebraska law and federal law in Title VII prohibit retaliation:
 - It shall be an unlawful employment practice for an employer to discriminate against any of his employees or applicants for employment because [s]he has opposed any practice made an unlawful employment practice by this subchapter, or because [s]he has made a charge, testified, assisted or participated in any manner in an investigation, proceeding, or hearing under this subchapter.
 - 42 .S.C. § 2000e3-a.
- 20. To state a retaliation claim, Ms. Nichols must show (1) she engaged in statutorily protected activity by either participation and/or protesting what she believed in good faith to be discriminatory conduct, (2) her employers were of the protected activity,

- (3) she suffered an adverse employment action, and (4) a causal connection between her engagement in protected activity and the adverse employment action. Close temporal proximity between protected activity and an adverse employment action raises an inference of causation.
- 21. It is unlawful for an employer to retaliate against an employee because his or her spouse, who is also an employee, filed a charge of discrimination. See Equal Employment Opportunity Commission, Compliance Manual §8-II, p. 8-10 (1998).
- 22.Ms. Nichols was assessed as a successful employee until First Data learned that her husband, one of its former employees, filed a charge of discrimination against it. First Data employees were aware of Rodney's filings based on the service of the charge on it. First Data's Director of Operations, Mark Jellsey, overheard Rodney's telephone and personal conversations in their work spaces. Jellsey knew that Rodney filed a discrimination charge with OHRRD and that the charge was received at First Data.
- 23. Jellsey informed First Data's Director of Property, Scott Altic, of Rodney's charge. Ms. Nichols worked with Altic in the same office location. Altic then passed along the fact of the Rodney's discrimination charge to Ms. Nichols' supervisor at JLL.
- 24. After that information was learned, Ms. Nichols treatment by First Data employees changed. She was treated more abruptly by management, she was criticized for trivial matters. First Data and JLL contend they did not know of Rodney's charge. The timing of First Data's and JLL's actions, their increased daily scrutiny, First Data's instructions to JLL to end Ms. Nichol's position all weigh heavily against First Data's claim.

25. First Data instructed JLL to terminate Ms. Nichols' employment at First

Data without any warning, any factual basis related to her performance, and ultimately

based solely on the fact that her husband Rodney filed discrimination charges against

First Data. When those charges were served on First Data and it learned of the

Rodney's claims, First Data commanded Ms. Nichols' termination.

WHEREFORE, Ms. Nichols requests judgment ordering an award of damages

pursuant to 42 U.S.C. § 12203 et seg. and Neb. Rev. Stat. § 48-114 et seg. based on

retaliatory termination First Data instructed JLL to execute.

REQUEST FOR RELIEF

Ms. Nichols requests judgment in her favor and awarding the following:

1. Damages for Ms. Nichols' lost and future wages based on her

termination;

2. Damages for Ms. Nichols' emotional pain and suffering,

inconvenience, mental anguish, and loss of enjoyment of life;

3. Damages for Ms. Nichols' out of pocket expenses for physical and

mental health treatment;

4. Punitive damages for First Data's knowing violation of federal

discrimination laws:

5. Costs and attorney fees; as allowed by law, and

6. Such other and further relief as the Court deems appropriate.

PLAINTIFF MAKES DEMAND FOR TRIAL BY JURY IN OMAHA, NEBRASKA.

DATE: January 24, 2019.

7

By: s/ Terry A. White

Terry A. White #18282 Alexis S. Mullaney #25908 CARLSON & BURNETT LLP 17525 Arbor Street

Omaha, NE 68130
Direct (402) 682-8006
Main (402) 934-5500
terry@carlsonburnett.com

Attorneys for Plaintiff

DISMISSAL AND N	VOTICE OF	RIGHTS
-----------------	------------------	---------------

To: Tina	L. Nichols	From:	St. Louis District Office 1222 Spruce Street Room 8.100 Saint Louis, MO 63103 Exhibit A							
(ALICAN-SERVINGS)	On behalf of person(s) aggrieved whose identity is CONFIDENTIAL (29 CFR §1601.7(a))									
EEOC Charg	e No. EEOC Representative		Telephone No.							
	Joseph J. Wilson,									
32E-2018-		_	(314) 539-7816							
THE EEO	C IS CLOSING ITS FILE ON THIS CHARGE FOR T									
	The facts alleged in the charge fail to state a claim under	er any of the s	statutes enforced by the EEOC.							
Your allegations did not involve a disability as defined by the Americans With Disabilities Act.										
y makinatikan ere e kananati da	The Respondent employs less than the required number of employees or is not otherwise covered by the statutes.									
	Your charge was not timely filed with EEOC; in other words, you waited too long after the date(s) of the alleged discrimination to file your charge									
The EEOC issues the following determination: Based upon its investigation, the EEOC is unable to conclude that to information obtained establishes violations of the statutes. This does not certify that the respondent is in compliance with the statutes. No finding is made as to any other issues that might be construed as having been raised by this charge. The EEOC has adopted the findings of the state or local fair employment practices agency that investigated this charge. Other (briefly state)										
					- NOTICE OF SUIT RIGHTS - (See the additional information attached to this form.)					
				Title VII, the Americans with Disabilities Act, the Genetic Information Nondiscrimination Act, or the Age Discrimination in Employment Act: This will be the only notice of dismissal and of your right to sue that we will send you. You may file a lawsuit against the respondent(s) under federal law based on this charge in federal or state court. Your lawsuit must be filed WITHIN 90 DAYS of your receipt of this notice; or your right to sue based on this charge will be lost. (The time limit for filing suit based on a claim under state law may be different.)						
Equal Pay Act (EPA): EPA suits must be filed in federal or state court within 2 years (3 years for willful violations) of the alleged EPA underpayment. This means that backpay due for any violations that occurred more than 2 years (3 years) before you file suit may not be collectible.										
	On beha	If of the Com	December 18, 2018							
	7 F	Neely, Jr., ector	(Date Mailed)							
Enclosures(s	5)									
cc: Alex	is Mullaney FIRST D		URCES, LLC							

cc: √Alexis Mullaney CARLSON & BURNETT 17525 Arbor St Omaha, NE 68130 FIRST DATA RESOURCES, LLC ATTN: Human Resources Director 6855 Pacific St, Ak-32 Omaha, NE 68106

Cody Elyse Brookhouser-Sisney MCGRATH NORTH 1601 Dodge St, Ste 3700 Omaha, NE 68102 Enclosure &h120cv-00035-RFR-MDN Doc # 1 Filed: 01/24/19 Page 10 of 15 - Page ID # 10 Form 161 (11/16)

INFORMATION RELATED TO FILING SUIT UNDER THE LAWS ENFORCED BY THE EEOC

(This information relates to filing suit in Federal or State court <u>under Federal law.</u>

If you also plan to sue claiming violations of State law, please be aware that time limits and other provisions of State law may be shorter or more limited than those described below.)

PRIVATE SUIT RIGHTS

Title VII of the Civil Rights Act, the Americans with Disabilities Act (ADA), the Genetic Information Nondiscrimination Act (GINA), or the Age Discrimination in Employment Act (ADEA):

In order to pursue this matter further, you must file a lawsuit against the respondent(s) named in the charge within 90 days of the date you receive this Notice. Therefore, you should keep a record of this date. Once this 90-day period is over, your right to sue based on the charge referred to in this Notice will be lost. If you intend to consult an attorney, you should do so promptly. Give your attorney a copy of this Notice, and its envelope, and tell him or her the date you received it. Furthermore, in order to avoid any question that you did not act in a timely manner, it is prudent that your suit be filed within 90 days of the date this Notice was mailed to you (as indicated where the Notice is signed) or the date of the postmark, if later.

Your lawsuit may be filed in U.S. District Court or a State court of competent jurisdiction. (Usually, the appropriate State court is the general civil trial court.) Whether you file in Federal or State court is a matter for you to decide after talking to your attorney. Filing this Notice is not enough. You must file a "complaint" that contains a short statement of the facts of your case which shows that you are entitled to relief. Courts often require that a copy of your charge must be attached to the complaint you file in court. If so, you should remove your birth date from the charge. Some courts will not accept your complaint where the charge includes a date of birth. Your suit may include any matter alleged in the charge or, to the extent permitted by court decisions, matters like or related to the matters alleged in the charge. Generally, suits are brought in the State where the alleged unlawful practice occurred, but in some cases can be brought where relevant employment records are kept, where the employment would have been, or where the respondent has its main office. If you have simple questions, you usually can get answers from the office of the clerk of the court where you are bringing suit, but do not expect that office to write your complaint or make legal strategy decisions for you.

PRIVATE SUIT RIGHTS -- Equal Pay Act (EPA):

EPA suits must be filed in court within 2 years (3 years for willful violations) of the alleged EPA underpayment: back pay due for violations that occurred **more than 2 years (3 years) before you file suit** may not be collectible. For example, if you were underpaid under the EPA for work performed from 7/1/08 to 12/1/08, you should file suit before 7/1/10 – not 12/1/10 – in order to recover unpaid wages due for July 2008. This time limit for filing an EPA suit is separate from the 90-day filing period under Title VII, the ADA, GINA or the ADEA referred to above. Therefore, if you also plan to sue under Title VII, the ADA, GINA or the ADEA, in addition to suing on the EPA claim, suit must be filed within 90 days of this Notice and within the 2- or 3-year EPA back pay recovery period.

ATTORNEY REPRESENTATION -- Title VII, the ADA or GINA:

If you cannot afford or have been unable to obtain a lawyer to represent you, the U.S. District Court having jurisdiction in your case may, in limited circumstances, assist you in obtaining a lawyer. Requests for such assistance must be made to the U.S. District Court in the form and manner it requires (you should be prepared to explain in detail your efforts to retain an attorney). Requests should be made well before the end of the 90-day period mentioned above, because such requests do <u>not</u> relieve you of the requirement to bring suit within 90 days.

ATTORNEY REFERRAL AND EEOC ASSISTANCE -- All Statutes:

You may contact the EEOC representative shown on your Notice if you need help in finding a lawyer or if you have any questions about your legal rights, including advice on which U.S. District Court can hear your case. If you need to inspect or obtain a copy of information in EEOC's file on the charge, please request it promptly in writing and provide your charge number (as shown on your Notice). While EEOC destroys charge files after a certain time, all charge files are kept for at least 6 months after our last action on the case. Therefore, if you file suit and want to review the charge file, please make your review request within 6 months of this Notice. (Before filing suit, any request should be made within the next 90 days.)

IF YOU FILE SUIT, PLEASE SEND A COPY OF YOUR COURT COMPLAINT TO THIS OFFICE.

TO BE WILLIAM TO BE SEEN TO BE SE	AGENCY		CHARGE NUMBER
This form is affected by the Privacy Act of 1974; See Privacy Act Statement before completing this form.		NE	P Z-17/18-12-49166
Nobrest	1.17.24	4	32E-2018-00159
Nebraska Equal Opportunity Commissi	On and ECoo		DATE RECEIVED BY
NAME (Indicate Mr., Ms., Mrs.)	and SEOC	1	RECEIVED NEC
Ms. Tina L Nichols	HOME TELEPH	IONE	TOEIVED NE
FE AND ZID			DEC
CONTRACTOR LABOR CONTRACTOR CONTR	DATE OF BIRT	1	DEC 06 2017
OR LOCAL GOVERNMENT AGENCY WHO DISCRIMINATED ACFIRST Data Resources, LLC	MENT AGENCY ADD		OMAUA
First Data Resources, LLC	SAINST ME (If more than	MENTICESH One list below t	IP COMMITTEE STATE
SIREST ADDRESS	THE EMPLOYEE		TELEPHONE
6855 Pacific St, AK-32 Omaha NE 68106	Nore than 500 Emp	loyees	TONE
	No. 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10		COUNTY
First Data Corporation N	UMBER OF EMPLOYEES	MEMPERS	Douglas
2005 (Glenridge o	DDE		TELEPHONE
CAUSE OF DISCRIMINATION BASED ON (Check appropriate box(es))			COUNTY
DACE (Uneck appropriate box(es))	The second secon		
[] SEX [] RELIGION []		DATE DISC	RIMINATION TOOK PLACE
[A] RETALIATION LACE	NATIONAL ORIGIN	3/1/2017	LATEST I
I J DISABILITY [] GENE	TIC INFORMATION	i	5/1/2017
TE PAUTINI II. A STATE AND A STATE OF THE ST	1	[] CONTI	NUING ACTION
I was placed with the Respondent ideal attach extra sheet(s)):		
		ha-!- 1	
I was placed with the Respondent via Jones Lang Last recently as an Administrative Secretary. My husbanarge against Respondent on June 29, 2016, with the Caserimination, Respondent subjected me to different to a signment.	d, Rodney A Nich	negivning	March 23, 2016,
ase numbers 16-0523-033-ER and 2016-00020	maha Human Righ	is and Dai	discrimination
ase numbers 16-0523-033-ER and 2016-00039). In ret scrimination, Respondent subjected me to different term	aliation for my hus!	o and rep	ations Department
-autout.	is and conditions o	employm	ent and
I believe I have here	e de la companya del companya de la companya del companya de la co	[j .,,	on and ended my
crimination in Employer discriminated against on the basis	en marken i en in		
TIPIOVMENT Act of 4003	S Of Catalian .	ما المسلم	
crimination in Employees Art of 1907, as Amended	and Service to N	oration of	The Age
of 1964, as Amended, and on the basis of retaining the control of 1964.	and Section 48-100	oration of 4(4) of the	The Age Nebraska Age
1964, as Amended, and Section 48-1114(2)	liation, in violation	of Title V/II	Nebraska Age
I believe I have been discriminated against on the basi scrimination in Employment Act of 1967, as Amended, a crimination in Employment Act; and on the basis of reta of 1964, as Amended, and Section 48-1114(2) of the N	aliation, in violation lebraska Fair Empl	of Title VII	of the Civil Rights
n June 29, 2016, my husband filed a charge of discrete	aliation, in violation lebraska Fair Empl	of Title VII oyment Pr	of the Civil Rights actice Act, in that:
n June 29, 2016, my husband filed a charge of discriminating Rights and Relations Department on the house of	aliation, in violation lebraska Fair Empl nation against Resp	of Title VII oyment Pr	of the Civil Rights actice Act, in that:
n June 29, 2016, my husband filed a charge of discriminating Rights and Relations Department on the house of	aliation, in violation lebraska Fair Empl nation against Resp	of Title VII oyment Pr	of the Civil Rights actice Act, in that:
n June 29, 2016, my husband filed a charge of discriminating Rights and Relations Department on the house of	aliation, in violation lebraska Fair Empl nation against Resp	of Title VII oyment Pr	of the Civil Rights actice Act, in that:
n June 29, 2016, my husband filed a charge of discriminant Rights and Relations Department on the bases of a med Director of Property Scott Altic, who worked with a band's charge of discrimination against Respondent. It	aliation, in violation debraska Fair Empl nation against Resp age and race. I beli ne in the same offic believe Altic information	of Title VII oyment Pr condent with eve Direct ce location	of the Civil Rights actice Act, in that: th the Omaha or Mark Jellsey, about my
of 1964, as Amended, and Section 48-1114(2) of the North June 29, 2016, my husband filed a charge of discriminan Rights and Relations Department on the bases of a med Director of Property Scott Altic, who worked with recicas, Inc. Supervisor, Matt Covey of the charge.	aliation, in violation debraska Fair Empl nation against Resp age and race. I beli ne in the same offic believe Altic informa	of Title VII oyment Pr condent with eve Direct ce location	of the Civil Rights actice Act, in that: th the Omaha or Mark Jellsey, about my
n 1964, as Amended, and Section 48-1114(2) of the North June 29, 2016, my husband filed a charge of discriminan Rights and Relations Department on the bases of a smed Director of Property Scott Altic, who worked with a band's charge of discrimination against Respondent. It is charge, the charge of the charge of the charge of the charge of the charge.	aliation, in violation debraska Fair Empl nation against Resp age and race. I beli ne in the same offic believe Altic informa	of Title VII oyment Pr condent with eve Direct ce location	of the Civil Rights actice Act, in that: th the Omaha or Mark Jellsey, about my
n June 29, 2016, my husband filed a charge of discrimination Rights and Relations Department on the bases of a medical process of the control of the property Scott Altic, who worked with repricas, Inc. Supervisor, Matt Covey of the charge. The received a negative performance review from Covey are well as the positive.	aliation, in violation debraska Fair Employers and race. I believe and race officielle and the same officielle Altic information.	of Title VII oyment Pr condent with eve Direct ce location ed my Jone out my Sen	of the Civil Rights actice Act, in that: th the Omaha or Mark Jellsey, about my
n June 29, 2016, my husband filed a charge of discrimination Rights and Relations Department on the bases of a medical process of the control of the property Scott Altic, who worked with repricas, Inc. Supervisor, Matt Covey of the charge. The received a negative performance review from Covey are well as the positive.	aliation, in violation debraska Fair Employers and race. I believe and race officielle and the same officielle Altic information.	of Title VII oyment Pr condent with eve Direct ce location ed my Jone out my Sen	of the Civil Rights actice Act, in that: th the Omaha or Mark Jellsey, about my
n June 29, 2016, my husband filed a charge of discriminant Rights and Relations Department on the bases of a men Director of Property Scott Altic, who worked with reprices, Inc. Supervisor, Matt Covey of the charge. The eccived a negative performance review from Covey are what been positive. The May 1, 2017, I was informed my assignment was term to want me to return.	aliation, in violation debraska Fair Employers and race. I believe and race officielle and the same officielle Altic information.	of Title VII oyment Pr condent with eve Direct ce location ed my Jone out my Sen	of the Civil Rights actice Act, in that: th the Omaha or Mark Jellsey, about my
n June 29, 2016, my husband filed a charge of discriminant Rights and Relations Department on the bases of a men Director of Property Scott Altic, who worked with reprices, Inc. Supervisor, Matt Covey of the charge. The eccived a negative performance review from Covey are what been positive. The May 1, 2017, I was informed my assignment was term to want me to return.	aliation, in violation debraska Fair Employers and race. I believe and race officielle and the same officielle Altic information.	of Title VII oyment Pr condent with eve Direct ce location ed my Jone out my Sen	of the Civil Rights actice Act, in that; the Omaha or Mark Jellsey, about my
n June 29, 2016, my husband filed a charge of discrimination Rights and Relations Department on the bases of a medical process of the control of the property Scott Altic, who worked with repricas, Inc. Supervisor, Matt Covey of the charge. The received a negative performance review from Covey are well as the positive.	aliation, in violation debraska Fair Employers and race. I believe and race officielle and the same officielle Altic information.	of Title VII oyment Pr condent with eve Direct ce location ed my Jone out my Sen	of the Civil Rights actice Act, in that; the Omaha or Mark Jellsey, about my
n June 29, 2016, my husband filed a charge of discriminant Rights and Relations Department on the bases of a men Director of Property Scott Altic, who worked with reprices, Inc. Supervisor, Matt Covey of the charge. The eccived a negative performance review from Covey are what been positive. The May 1, 2017, I was informed my assignment was term to want me to return.	aliation, in violation debraska Fair Employers and race. I believe and race officielle and the same officielle Altic information.	of Title VII oyment Pr condent with eve Direct ce location ed my Jone out my Sen	of the Civil Rights actice Act, in that; the Omaha or Mark Jellsey, about my
n June 29, 2016, my husband filed a charge of discriminant Rights and Relations Department on the bases of a men Director of Property Scott Altic, who worked with reprices, Inc. Supervisor, Matt Covey of the charge. The eccived a negative performance review from Covey are what been positive. The May 1, 2017, I was informed my assignment was term to want me to return.	aliation, in violation debraska Fair Employers and race. I believe and race officielle and the same officielle Altic information.	of Title VII oyment Pr condent with eve Direct ce location ed my Jone out my Sen	of the Civil Rights actice Act, in that: th the Omaha or Mark Jellsey, about my

na L Nichols vs. First Data Resources, LLC ge 2	
and the second s	
	·
This space inte	ntionally left blank.
•	
•	
ant this charge filed with both the EEOC and the State or local ancy, if any. I will advise the agencies if I change my address or	
ne number and I will cooperate fully with them in the processing of charge in accordance with their procedures.	State of Notonska County of Douglas
onargo in Accordance with their procedures.	On this, the day of 2017, The Complainant appeared before me, known to me (or satisfactor
rear or affirm that I have read the above and that It is true to the best ny knowledge, information and belief,	I Provon) we be into person whose hante is subsormed to this
	instrument, and acknowledged that they executed the same for the purposes therein contained.
NATURE OF COMPLAINANT	
1 20 11:	Notary Public Diane K Colkey
senoch Nichols	Notary Seal GENERAL NOTARY - State of Nebraska DIANA K. WILKEY
	My Comm. Exp. July 2, 2020

EEOC Form 161 (11/16)

DISMISSAL AND NOTICE OF RIGHTS				
To: Tina L	. Nichols From	St. Louis District 1222 Spruce Stre Room 8.100 Saint Louis, MO 6	et	
	On behalf of person(s) aggrieved whose identity is CONFIDENTIAL (29 CFR §1601.7(a))			
EEOC Charge			Telephone No.	
	Joseph J. Wilson,			
32E-2018-0	O0160 State & Local Program Manage	·	(314) 539-7816	
THE EEOC	IS CLOSING ITS FILE ON THIS CHARGE FOR THE FOL	OWING REASON:		
	The facts alleged in the charge fail to state a claim under any of the	e statutes enforced by th	e EEOC. Exhibit B	
	Your allegations did not involve a disability as defined by the Ame	ricans With Disabilities A	ct.	
	The Respondent employs less than the required number of employees or is not otherwise covered by the statutes.			
Your charge was not timely filed with EEOC; in other words, you waited too long after the date(s) of the alleged discrimination to file your charge				
The EEOC issues the following determination: Based upon its investigation, the EEOC is unable to conclude that the information obtained establishes violations of the statutes. This does not certify that the respondent is in compliance with the statutes. No finding is made as to any other issues that might be construed as having been raised by this charge.				
X	The EEOC has adopted the findings of the state or local fair empl	oyment practices agency	that investigated this charge.	
The state of the s	Other (briefly state)	٠.		
- NOTICE OF SUIT RIGHTS - (See the additional information attached to this form.)				
Discrimina You may file lawsuit mus	e Americans with Disabilities Act, the Genetic Informati- tion in Employment Act: This will be the only notice of disi e a lawsuit against the respondent(s) under federal law base to be filed WITHIN 90 DAYS of your receipt of this notice me limit for filing suit based on a claim under state law may be	nissal and of your righ ed on this charge in fec e; or your right to sue b	t to sue that we will send you. deral or state court. Your	
alleged EPA	Act (EPA): EPA suits must be filed in federal or state court valunderpayment. This means that backpay due for any violatile suit may not be collectible.			
	On behalf of the C	ommission Iilson	December 18, 2018	
	James R. Neely, Jr., (Date Mailed) Director			

Enclosures(s)

Alexis Mullaney CARLSON & BURNETT 17525 Arbor St Omaha, NE 68130

Julie M. Baker Sr Counsel, Employment Litigation
JONES LANG LASALLE AMERICAS, INC
200 E Randolph Dr Chicago, IL 60601

8:19-cv-00035-RFR-MDN Doc # 1 Filed: 01/24/19 Page 14 of 15 - Page ID # 14 Form 161 (11/16)

INFORMATION RELATED TO FILING SUIT UNDER THE LAWS ENFORCED BY THE EEOC

(This information relates to filing suit in Federal or State court <u>under Federal law</u>.

If you also plan to sue claiming violations of State law, please be aware that time limits and other provisions of State law may be shorter or more limited than those described below.)

PRIVATE SUIT RIGHTS

Title VII of the Civil Rights Act, the Americans with Disabilities Act (ADA), the Genetic Information Nondiscrimination Act (GINA), or the Age Discrimination in Employment Act (ADEA):

In order to pursue this matter further, you must file a lawsuit against the respondent(s) named in the charge <u>within</u> <u>90 days</u> of the date you receive this Notice. Therefore, you should keep a record of this date. Once this 90-day period is over, your right to sue based on the charge referred to in this Notice will be lost. If you intend to consult an attorney, you should do so promptly. Give your attorney a copy of this Notice, and its envelope, and tell him or her the date you received it. Furthermore, in order to avoid any question that you did not act in a timely manner, it is prudent that your suit be filed within 90 days of the date this Notice was *mailed* to you (as indicated where the Notice is signed) or the date of the postmark, if later.

Your lawsuit may be filed in U.S. District Court or a State court of competent jurisdiction. (Usually, the appropriate State court is the general civil trial court.) Whether you file in Federal or State court is a matter for you to decide after talking to your attorney. Filing this Notice is not enough. You must file a "complaint" that contains a short statement of the facts of your case which shows that you are entitled to relief. Courts often require that a copy of your charge must be attached to the complaint you file in court. If so, you should remove your birth date from the charge. Some courts will not accept your complaint where the charge includes a date of birth. Your suit may include any matter alleged in the charge or, to the extent permitted by court decisions, matters like or related to the matters alleged in the charge. Generally, suits are brought in the State where the alleged unlawful practice occurred, but in some cases can be brought where relevant employment records are kept, where the employment would have been, or where the respondent has its main office. If you have simple questions, you usually can get answers from the office of the clerk of the court where you are bringing suit, but do not expect that office to write your complaint or make legal strategy decisions for you.

PRIVATE SUIT RIGHTS -- Equal Pay Act (EPA):

EPA suits must be filed in court within 2 years (3 years for willful violations) of the alleged EPA underpayment: back pay due for violations that occurred **more than 2 years (3 years) before you file suit** may not be collectible. For example, if you were underpaid under the EPA for work performed from 7/1/08 to 12/1/08, you should file suit before 7/1/10 – not 12/1/10 – in order to recover unpaid wages due for July 2008. This time limit for filing an EPA suit is separate from the 90-day filing period under Title VII, the ADA, GINA or the ADEA referred to above. Therefore, if you also plan to sue under Title VII, the ADA, GINA or the ADEA, in addition to suing on the EPA claim, suit must be filed within 90 days of this Notice and within the 2- or 3-year EPA back pay recovery period.

ATTORNEY REPRESENTATION -- Title VII, the ADA or GINA:

If you cannot afford or have been unable to obtain a lawyer to represent you, the U.S. District Court having jurisdiction in your case may, in limited circumstances, assist you in obtaining a lawyer. Requests for such assistance must be made to the U.S. District Court in the form and manner it requires (you should be prepared to explain in detail your efforts to retain an attorney). Requests should be made well before the end of the 90-day period mentioned above, because such requests do <u>not</u> relieve you of the requirement to bring suit within 90 days.

ATTORNEY REFERRAL AND EEOC ASSISTANCE -- All Statutes:

You may contact the EEOC representative shown on your Notice if you need help in finding a lawyer or if you have any questions about your legal rights, including advice on which U.S. District Court can hear your case. If you need to inspect or obtain a copy of information in EEOC's file on the charge, please request it promptly in writing and provide your charge number (as shown on your Notice). While EEOC destroys charge files after a certain time, all charge files are kept for at least 6 months after our last action on the case. Therefore, if you file suit and want to review the charge file, please make your review request within 6 months of this Notice. (Before filing suit, any request should be made within the next 90 days.)

IF YOU FILE SUIT, PLEASE SEND A COPY OF YOUR COURT COMPLAINT TO THIS OFFICE.

	CHARGE OF DISCRIMINATION	A	GENCY	NEQ	2:17/18-12-49167- RI
	This form is affected by the Privacy Act of 1974; See Privacy Act Statement before completing this form.	Į.	FEPA		E-2018-00160
	Nebraska Equal Opportunity Commiss	lon and	EEOC		RECEIVED NEOC
	NAME (Indicate Mr., Ms., Mrs.) Ms. Tina L Nichols	(p.) , , , o o l [] }	HOME TELES	PHONE	
-	STREET ADDRESS		DATE OF BIR		DEC 06 2017
	NAMED IS THE EMPLOYER, LABOR ORGANIZATION, EMPLO OR LOCAL GOVERNMENT AGENCY WHO DISCRIMINATED A	OYMENT AGAINST	AGENCY, AF	PRENTICESHII	COMMANDENCE
	Jones Lang LaSalle Americas, Inc.	NUMBER 15-100	OF EMPLOYI Employee	EES, MEMBERS	TELEPHONE
	STREET ADDRESS CITY, STATE AND ZIP 7302 Pacific Street Omaha NE 68114-5448	CODE	·	and has been an	COUNTY Douglas
	NAME Jones Lang LaSalle Americas, Inc.	NUMBER	R OF EMPLOYE	EES, MEMBERS	TELEPHONE
ľ	STREET ADDRESS CITY, STATE AND ZIP 200 E Randolph Dr Chicago IL 60601	CODE	- Mesonan	. تقرید، بهستند.	COUNTY
ľ	CAUSE OF DISCRIMINATION BASED ON (Check appropriate box(es))	ar Ari Mar	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	DATE DISC	RIMINATION TOOK PLACE
	[] RACE [] COLOR [] SEX [] RELIGION [-	DNAL ORIGIN	3/1/201	
	THE PARTICULARS ARE (if additional space is needed, attach extra she		FORMATION	[] CONT	INUING ACTION
	I. I worked for Respondent beginning March 23, 2016, most recently as an Administrative secretary placed at First Data Resources (FDR) in Omaha, NE. My husband, Rodney A. Nichols, filed a discrimination charge with the Omaha Human Rights and Relations Department against First Data Resources on June 29, 2016. In retailation for my husband's charge of discrimination, Respondent subjected me to different terms and conditions of employment and terminated my employment. II. I believe I have been discriminated against on the basis of retailation, in violation of The Age Discrimination in Employment Act of 1967, as Amended, and Section 48-1004(4) of the Nebraska Age Discrimination in Employment Act; and on the basis of retailation, in violation of Title VII of the Civil Rights Act of 1964, as Amended, and Section 48-1114 (2) of the Nebraska Fair Employment Practice Act, in that: 1. On June 29, 2016, my husband filed a charge of discrimination with the Omaha Human Rights and Relations Department against FDR on the bases of age and race. I believe FDR Director Mark Jellsey informed FDR Director of Property Scott Altic, who worked with me in the same office location, about my husband's charge of discrimination against FDR. I believe Altic then informed Respondent Supervisor Matt Covey of the charge of discrimination.				
j	I received a negative performance review around Moceen positive.	larch 20	017, but my	September 2	:016 review had
Î	 On May 1, 2017, I was terminated from Responden eturn. Covey said he would write a letter of recommendation. 	t when endation	Covey indic for me, bu	cated FDR did it never did.	in't want me to
Pn	4. My performance was satisfactory, want this charge filed with both the EEOC and the State or local agency, if any, it will advise the agencies if I change my address or those number and I will cooperate fully with them in the processing of my charge in accordance with their procedures, swear or affirm that I have read the above and that it is true to the best of my knowledge, information and belief.	On this, The Cor	nplainant apper to be the perso	ay of <u>leaders</u> ared before me, kn n whose name is s	Own to me (or anliafactority
S	IGNATURE OF COMPLAINANT	purpose	s therein contai	ned.	vecoring the salling tot the
X	Linal Nichols	Notary F	P	NERAL NOTARY - State DIANA K. WI	of Nebraska LKEY